FINALIZED MINUTES
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
Kerckhoff Hall 417
February 17, 2015
7:00 PM


ABSENT:

GUESTS:

I. Call to Order
-Baral calls meeting to order at 7:08 pm.
A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
The attendance sheet has been passed around.

II. Approval of the Agenda
-Hourdequin moves to have the Fund Allocations before the Officer and Member Reports.
-Badalich moves to have the Officer and Member Reports at the end.
-Badalich moves to approve agenda as amended. Sadeghi-Movahed seconds.
13-0-0 the agenda is approved.

III. Approval of Minutes from February 10, 2015
-Rosen asks about the minutes being finalized
-Rosen moves to approve the minutes. Bach seconds.

IV. Public Comments
-It was brought to his attention that the election calendar is going to maintain its policy of not having Monday voting. I question this policy before the election calendar began on Tuesday a voter turnout was maximized at 36% and last year it brought down 30%. Given that USAC is supposed to engage all students, I just have to question that we are deliberately reducing voter turnout when we know Monday voting will maximize the turnout.
-A member of greek life and has a reputation of not being involved in USAC and it has 4,000 people.
-Arya is also in a greek organization and pushes away the greek community if you’re trying to maximize voter turnout and I couldn’t gage to get involved and beware and to look into USAC collections which they wouldn’t care about or wouldn’t do. I don’t even see all of them until the next week and its such an excellent forum to getting people actually engaged and its counterintuitive to be pushing that community away.
Yael Glouberman
Before we get started, we want to make sure that we have the attention of every representative in this room-- especially those who disrespected us last week. I’m Yael, this is Elyssa, and this is Natalie. We are here today as representatives of Hillel Student Board. We’re here, not just because we are angry with the shocking and abhorrent comments spoken last week at council but also because we’re sorely disappointed. It is extremely hurtful that many of you thought the discriminatory speech you directed toward Jews was remotely appropriate to have said. When you assumed that the Jewish community has certain political affiliations, not only are you not doing your job as representatives of all students, but you’re discriminating against your own constituents. To the four people who initially voted against Rachel’s appointment: Fab, Negeen, Manjot, and Sophia. It is absolutely disgusting to discover that we have a culture in which our elected officials feel that it is appropriate to have said such anti-Semitic comments. Furthermore, these council members had the audacity to question a student’s ability to think critically for herself and utterly failed to judge her based solely on the merits of her qualifications.

Specifically, Fab you said: “Given that you’re a Jewish student and very active in the Jewish community […] how do you see yourself being able to maintain an unbiased view in your position?”

Negeen, you said: “I’m worried about her political affiliations obviously.”

Fab, you said: “I just think that this is a stupid political move…It’s not her fault…but she’s part of a community that’s very invested in USAC and very specific outcomes. I just can’t separate those two from being locked together, even if she is talented, and even if she is the right person for the job.”

Elyssa Schlossberg

It is micro-aggressions such as these that show why you need to educate yourself on what anti-Semitism is. I hope that by now you know, that the logic regarding Jews and their divided loyalties mirrors the same ideologies used in Nazi Germany to deny Jews government jobs. It also mimics the concepts found in the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, a hoax book published about the Jewish desire to control the world. This book was studied literally by Adolf Hitler and used as justification for the Holocaust. Although we would never suggest that your statements are on par with these notorious anti-semites, we want to be clear that it is a slippery slope and remaining unaware of the impact of your words on the Jewish community can only cause more harm.

Fab, the sorry excuse for an attempt at clarifying your position that you posted on Facebook only further showed your complete ignorance of and disregard for why the comments you made last week were discriminatory and hurtful. You seemed to do a fine job of explaining that discriminating against someone for being Jewish is anti-Semitic, but refused to acknowledge that your words were themselves anti-Semitic. You, as well as the other originally dissenting council members, need to be accountable and recognize that the things YOU said, and the reasoning BEHIND what you said, WERE anti-Semitic. To view a student’s Jewish identity and affiliation with her personal community as, to quote your post, “involvements with a particular political party” and for you to decide that, to further quote your post, a “candidate’s personal affiliations could be seen as a conflict of interest” is for you to overtly discriminate against a Jewish student.
because you didn’t trust that, as a Jew, she could properly fulfill her duties as a justice without bringing in bias. That is anti-Semitic.

Natalie Charney

We have three main demands from you as our elected representatives, namely the representatives so vocal in opposition to this appointment of a Jewish student. In these three asks, you can begin to remedy your relationship with us.

1. First, we demand for an official public apology via Daily Bruin from all four elected officials who originally voted against Rachel: Fab, Negeen, Manjot and Sophia. As we’ve reiterated, you behaved incredibly discriminatory and we deserve a public apology.
Conrad, you need to denounce the comments made by your proxy Morris. Encourage him to apologize for his extremely inflammatory and discriminatory comments.

Second, we demand an active effort by these four elected councilmembers to work toward mending the relationship with the Jewish Community at UCLA. This will require serious, pro-active efforts to reach out to us.

Third, we as the Jewish community are working on an anti-Semitism resolution to bring to council next week. We look forward to having the most vocal opponents co-sponsor the resolution in order to fulfill Point #2 in a first step toward mending the relationship with the Jewish community and showing a sincere commitment to improving our relations by denouncing all forms of anti-Semitism by way of this resolution. Although we are thoroughly offended, hurt and disappointed, we hope to begin to move forward and use this incredibly discriminatory event as an educational opportunity for council and the Bruin community.

- Baral closes public comment at 7:16

V. Special Presentations

VI. Appointments

VII. Fund Allocations
A. Contingency Programming
$55,012.56 required. $21,101.72 requested. 7,566.00 commended. Contingency balance is $68,875.81.
-Rosen moves to approve the contingency allocation.
11-0-2 contingency allocation passes.

B. Capital Contingency
-Wang said 2 total required is $1,350.86 and total requested was $1,350.86. and total recommended was 1,100. Balance is $3,552.11.
-Geller states she assumes estimated are specific things how can it be partially funded. – Wang states usually we’ll ask them to use their own office money to cover the difference.
-Wang stated they didn’t get full applications because their applications weren’t perfect and you can see the store on the side. The EVP office asked for computer items and furniture and Budget Review Committee asked for furniture.
-Rosen moves to approve capital contingency. Wong seconds.
12-0-1 capital contingency is approved.

-Rosen calls for a 5 minute recess. Hourdequin seconds. 12-0-0 a recess.
- Baral resumes the meeting at 7:27pm.
- Baral said the presentation is regarding election calendar and I feel confident about the election code.
- Rosen thanks CRC for coming out and we ended up doing 2 meetings. A lot of changes are grammatical and changed everything to gender neutral pronouns. We changed the wording and go over the vigger changes. Everyone has that pulled up and I would go ahead and skip to larger ones.
- Rosen said Article 2 and section 2.7.
- Baral said this is the version CRC approved correct?
- Rosen said yes.
- Wong asks if it this si finalized language?
- Rosen says no
- Wong said she can amend it.
- Rosen says in 2.11 number 4 they added a line that To issue guidelines before elections at the Candidate Orientation Meeting as necessary regarding campaign finance, advisory opinions being formal guidance on the application of USAC campaign finance law to specific activities. *This shall not be interpreted to expand the power of the Finance Committee beyond their stated duties.*
- Rosen says in 2.12 they copied of social media guidelines to inform every candidate so everyone is informed what the social media guidelines are.
- Rosen says article 5.2 with 2 and point c is suggestion by e-board. Candidates filed with a slate may choose to list the name of their slate, as registered with the Election Board, along with their name on the ballot. Candidates not affiliated with any slate may choose to display the word "independent" along with their name on the ballot. Rosen says we do add it to eboard.
- Shagun says he would really like Candidates filed with a slate may choose to list the name of their slate, as registered with the Election Board, along with their name on the ballot. Candidates not affiliated with any slate may choose to display the word "independent" along with their name on the ballot.)
- Rosen asks if its vote or vote!
- Shagun says this is the candidate name not the slate name
- Geller says if they have preferred name instead say a student can use officially registered name or officially registered with the university name
- Rosen says everyone knows her as Fab so she did Fabienne Fab Roth
- Baral says Abraham Oved did Abraham Avi Oved. Does anyone think that commonly held nicknames shouldn’t be held on the ballot? I do agree with preferred name or name on university records.
- Moreno Haq says this is my official preferred name and if I wanted to do this it would be fine?
- Geller said yes
- Rosen said article 7.1 was done by USAC pres office The Spring General Election shall be at least three (3) full days in duration
- Shagun said if you want to reduce it we can but how would you feel about 72 continuous hours instead of 3 hours.
- Baral proposes we reject that deletion and voting shall be held for 72 continuous hours.
- Rosen says 8.2 and they want to define what campaigning means. For the purpose of this Election Code, the term "Campaigning" shall be defined as any public effort by any individual or group to influence the decision of any student in support of or against any USAC candidate, slate, initiative, referendum, recall, or constitutional amendment appearing on the ballot in the next election through the use of verbal or nonverbal interaction, electronic correspondence of any kind, or the use of physical materials.
- For the purpose of this Election Code, the term "Campaign Literature" shall be defined as any physical or electronic material that attempts to influence the vote of any student in the manner described in section 8.2.1.
- Baral asks if there's any recommendations.
- Rosen says part 8.2 number 8 should say (SOUL)
- Shagun says he's not comfortable with public campaigning it should be public and nonpublic spaces like group meetings.
- Baral says let's just delete the word public.
- Rosen says we want to stay consistent and we can't produce things in "sweatshops" or any human rights violations so we had to do appropriately and use licensed vendors.
- Rosen says Approval of campaign material may not be denied due to the inability to affix the election board logo to said material. If it is impossible or infeasible to affix the logo, the election board shall keep on file a photograph and description of the object. The Election Board shall make public a list of all approved campaign material.
- Shagun says he wants to make sure the
- Wong says our rationale from non stampable material then you can't affix it then have that on eboard as documentation and all other printed material it has to have the logo.
- Chris said I need this in the next hour and without the logo an individual and that's different than a time crunch issue they would want to add extra
- Baral states it would take a picture of the material and the election board takes a photo and puts it on their website and it allows them to keep track. The election board is asking us to clarify the language and the inability to affix the logo due to the type of material or due to the specific campaign object that is there as opposed to a person's timeliness. Can someone clarify affix logo.
- Baral says maybe the language of approval of non stampable material if that's okay
- Wong said would it be clearer if we added a clause about the time constraints is that what you see the most plausible exception. If we put a clause about stampable material with time restraint
- Wong says for section 4 that time constraint is not a valid exception for excluding the election board logo?
- Baral says he'll have Wong send it
- Rosen says that if keep going down to campaign paraphrenlia we deleted things to make it more concise. From section 8 number 6 part b under email they put The following information is required to be put at the bottom of each email or social media message: Report any violations of election code at [insert URL to be determined by the Election Board Chair].
- Rosen says any social media forum at our smaller capacity we can do a shortened
disclaimer that would be determined by election board chair.
-Rosen says we’ll go down a little more
-Shagun says for clarification
-Bach says there should be no block voting if the discretion board can take its use. Can we define block voting and what we learned from polisci. What is block voting n the sense of ecode
-Shagun says block voting is actually forced to vote or passing a computer down a room and that’s block voting. Definitions are a lot
-Baral would like to add a definition
-Bach says if you look up for block voting is multiple winners and multiplicity and you’re describing coercive voting but right now that leaving it blank is not appropriate that’s discretion of the election board so you can define anything as block voting and I don’t feel comfortable with that.
-Rosen says you understand that you want to be vague it can be anything for more than 2 people and it needs to be defined and hard to proved and block voting gets proven in pictures and that’s really hard to see and look. There needs to be a very clear definition.
-Baral moves to change 8.1.5 There shall be no block voting, and if necessary, measures shall be taken at the discretion of Election Board to prevent its use to no coercive voting
-Bach proposes to delete the clause and say coercive voting for the association.
-Shagun says what you said is very different than what it says how do we know when its coercive voting.
-Bach says no member shall coerce a group of students a particular way
-Wong says in terms of structuring the language because voting and bloc cant be defined. If we just define voting en bloc as a group of voters in a strongly motivated as a group together.
-Rosen says I don’t see the problem and vote how I want to vote on a table like this.
-Bach says coercion voting is wrong. Student groups endorse groups and that’s different than coercing them and voting a particular way. That’s what endorsements are for to know which student groups and this part of the political process to know and identify with particular candidate. You cant stop that and we’re trying to vote if we’re trying to force someone and I am not comfortable with it because we mentioned the coercion aspect.
-Sadeghi-Movahed said coordination coercion is difficult to identify and part of coordination is coercion and if there’s a way to encapsulate that I will be comfortable with that. Coercion is a hard term to define and the act of coordination and coercion would be find.
-Roth says she was under the device and cant have more than one person use a device and logging in repeatedly.
-Rosen says that’s slippery slope eand sya someone doesn’t have a computer or smart phone and that’s a slippery device.
-Baral asks if you can provide a definition that is specific enough can you introduce a definition that goes beyond coercion that you define clearly what you consider block voting to be.
-Wong suggests there shall be no voting on block defined as a group of voters strongly motivated by a specific group of common concerns that tend to dominate their voting patterns.
Baral says that’s what election is
Contreras says he likes Wongs language and its pretty clear
Bach says the phrase that defines bloc voting isn’t specific enough
Badalich says if you wanted to put on a specific
Bach says the specific thing we’re upset is coercion what would be wrong with it
Geller says there’s a problem with having vague prohibitions and if someone doesn’t know its unfair because its included and not limited to there has to be specific and clear prohibitions instead of catch all phrases.
Bach says people do something they’re not allowed to do and there should be no voting in bloc for instance no member of an association should coerce a student to vote for a particular manner but coercion and coordinating is what we’re getting to the root of. You can sanction a student for anything at that point. Its about how they do so and the coercion
Badalich asks to
There shall be no voting en bloc, defined as a group of voters strongly motivated by a specific group of common concerns that tend to dominate their voting patterns by individual and group concerns how they do
Capulolo says it’s hard to prove block voting and there’s no good example to give.
Roth asks for the legal definition of coercion
Baral says the election board would look to definition of bloc voting. Baral asks if Bach can rephrase ask or edit and put it in a motion form.
Bach says there shall be no bloc voting—no member of the association shall coerce a student or group of students to vote in a particular manner—, if necessary measures shall be taken by the discretion of the election board to determine its use. Badalich seconds.
Contreras says its voting en bloc.
Bach says moves to change 8.5.1 there shall be no voting in bloc no member of the association shall coerce a student or group of students to vote in a particular manner, if necessary measures shall be taken by the discretion of the election board to determine its use
Rosen states for 9.2.1 Executive candidates are allowed to spend a fixed monetary amount to be determined by the Election Board Chairperson, of which the value will fall within a range of $800-$950, indexed to inflation [Comment: Discussion!] on their USAC campaign.
Shagun says there’s a range but there’s still a cap.
Roth asks if its per cap is it set by that?
Shagun says yes I know its high but I want to move for that change and we can agree on the amount if that makes sense.
Baral says I know we don’t talk about inflation if we can change for the value on index on yearly basis to CPI Los Angeles.
Baral asks do you want to keep it as index inflation?
Roth says if monetary value changes significantly in 5 or 6 years the eboard chair would bring forward more changes and readjust it
Baral wants to get this concern out there regarding inflation. Do you want to leave it untouched?
Geller says its striking in the past not having inflation attached to various things has gotten council in trouble. There was no inflation attached to some referendum such as
stipends that was not well received. If you leave it up to council you can specify some inflation factor and some time period.

-Shagun says he agrees and if you want to add a section and these values will be redundant and value check it could be eboard and approved by council

-Geller says there needs to be something specific. The maximum within range X and every third year beginning in 2018 the election board chair person will increase the amount and pick something to come up with something specific that is the basis for that. Otherwise take out the numbers entirely and say maximum spending limit will be set by election chair person if you want total discretion.

- Baral says if it should be every 3 years
- Rosen says it would take an average of 2004-2014 its around 2.5%
- Baral states its better to keep things simple than not

-Hourdequin moves to approve the language Baral stated for both 1 and 2 “this range shall be adjusted every 3 eyars beginning 2018 according to Los Angeles County CPI. 13-0-0 language is approved.

-Rosen stated part 10.1.f iii we changed bothering to harassing. If the Election Board decides that candidates are harrassing other candidates while on stage, they can impose sanctions on the offending candidates.

-Rosen said 10.3.1 they deleted every student can only question a candidate for a time limit decided by the election board and failure to follow the time rule will result in sanctions and we found it should be up into the election board chair.

-Rosen states the election board shouldnt make rules just clarify existing rules in 2.4.

- Baral moves to have a recess so Wong, Rosen, and Zimmerman can draft the new board. The meeting is resumed at 9:05pm. For the record can you state what was CRC’s vote before. The vote was 3-0-0.

--Rosen moves to approve the revised election code amended. Badalich seconds. 13-0-0 the election code is approved.

-Shagun thanks council for approving the code and this is the proposed election calendar. Candidate and lstate packets are available Monday March 2 at 12pm. The slate registrations form due March 13 at 12pm. The candidates packets due Friday March 13 at 12pm. The orientation Thursday April 2 at 5pm. And the Statements due at Sautrday April 8th at 5pm.

- Baral says you want everything to be turned in by the end of week 10 winter quarter.

- Geller says if it stays as it is council will have to approve referendum language no later than March 17 for Office of President and Chancellor approval.

- For endorsements this year we are adding an orientation section when student groups go together and say what appropriate or constructive questions to be more informed and that will be Tuesday April 14 at 5pm. We will look at question submittal deadline at Thursday April 16 at 5pm. The endorsement hearing is Tuesday april 21 at 7 pm in Ackerman Grand Ballroom that’s tentative. The slips are due Thursday April 23 at 5pm.

- Conterras asked about campus campaigning.

- Shagun said its everything about leafleting like going to student groups.

- Baral says to write down questions as he goes through and let him finish and then ask the questions.
Shagun says meet the candidates for Saturday April 25 at 7pm in Carnesale Commons and its tentative. The campus signboard can go up Sunday April 26 at 12am. That means Saturday night and Sunday early morning.

Shagun says fifth week campus voting beings Tuesday April 28 at 9am and continues until Thursday April 30 at 5pm. The results will be announced April 30 at 10pm.

- Shagun says as he saw and for Monday or Tuesday those logistics we’ve never done and never did per day voting and those aren’t available. We’ve done both Monday and Friday voting and I don’t want to take part in this conversation and leave it up to council to decide.

- Baral says we have to address the 72 hours of voting and other issues.
- Garcia said I would prefer to not have an event April 25
- Bach said online voting

- Baral wants to ask election board why everythings being moved up next week. He’s curious about the reasoning. I’m concerned why campus campaigning is early and 5th week and that’s not good for academics of student leaders and personally concerned about it.

- Badalich said Bruin Health Week is also week 5
- Hourdequin says fight for education is also week 5.
- Hourdequin says it should start for being pushed up on eweek if we do want to keep it fifth week it’ll change a lot. Let’s start for one week ahead and allowing Shagun to explain the reasoning for that.

- Shagun says the reason I wanted week 5 and midterms happened week 5 and week 7 and get midterms in any week. Secondly the reason week 5 is because personally week 6 is a bit too delayed because when people are releasing applications for specific offices and people couldn’t apply to certain offices and make sure that is addressed. The later we push out the worse academics are going to get affected and that’s closer to finals week.

- Hourdequin says personally that she advocates for week 6 because a lot of midterms are week 5 especially for north campus and there’s Bruin Health Week.

- Quintanilla says Shagun’s argument was convincing.
- Badalich agrees that his logic is convincing and I shouldn’t change an entire election calendar so she’s fine with week 5.
- Baral says week 5 it seems to me.
- Baral says April 25th at JRF.
- Garcia asks if we could make debates Friday.
- Baral asks why does it matter?
- Garcia said that JRF is Saturday

- Baral says that the way candidates use debates to garner momentum for debates administratively can we change signboards to go up Friday night but Saturday night.
- Shagun says he’s fine with having debates Friday and the signboards too but it’s a good suggestion and I’ll try but its up to ORL.

- Shagun says what if we say move debates to Sunday
- Baral says if you comeback and can’t get the room than we can change it after we approve it.

- Baral says there’s online campaigning 2 weeks versus 1 week. Why would you want online campaigning 3rd-5th week
-Shagun says is there a problem because there's a spending cap I want to make sure publicity is still high and online campaigning
-Roth says it's 2 weeks long and people are going to be sick of us and I think 1 week is fine if it's 2 weeks then it'll be too much and they'll vote yes.
- Bach agrees.
-Baral says campus campaigning starting 4th week and it will increase people of being annoyed and want to start leafleting week 5. Do people have opposition for the proposal I've made?
-Consensus agrees.
-Baral states campus campaigning week 5.
-Baral said 72 hours Monday-Friday and have a concern about candidate packets due before spring quarter.
-Shagun says if you want to move signboards its against the ecode.
-Baral states we can start Saturday campus campaigning technically starts at 12pm so signboards can go up.
-Shagun said that we will meeting with daily bruin.
-Baral asks about any questions of week 5. We have to have 72 hours of voting and currently we need 16 hours of voting being open.
-Bach notions to begin on 5pm Monday from the start time.
-Contreras notions to continue on Friday until 9AM so results could happen Friday night for candidates and campaign managers and eboard and its hard to go to class every year Friday and have post elections on a Friday instead of the weekend.
-Quintanilla asks why not Monday? What's the issue?
-Contreras says he's just posing an option.
-Baral says let's have a conversation
-Bach says because voting doesn't start until 5 pm they can still meet the candidates on bruinwalk and when polls open they can make their decisions and we can have traditional Thursday results so students don't have to worry about Thursday night campaigning. She thinks it keeps with Shagun’s original proposal without having to add election an extra day of the week.
-Shagun says anytime before 5 and it could be 4:15 because the office closes at 5 so we can do 4pm.
-Bach says it had to end at 4pm on Thursday
-Shagun says it’s a 72 hour minimum it can go longer
-Bach says they have to be educated before anyone approaches them on bruinwalk and it keeps with traditional election calendar.
-Bach suggests 4pm on Monday.
-Singh just wants to know the ideological opposition with Conrad’s for extending it to Friday instead of doing it Tuesday
-Bach says she proposed it first and isn’t it opposition
-Baral says it doesn’t like elections results on Friday and we want people to be there and its an exciting night and Jewish students have Sabbath.
-Rosen says looking at voter turn out and if you're looking at votes it seems logical we start and no ones going to wake up at 8am to vote.
-Badalich says wasn’t one of the concerns bloc voting on Monday and Tuesday-Friday isn’t an issue for her
Sadeghi-Movahed said I don’t understand why people can’t get educated Monday and then vote for Tuesday

Bach says we assume bloc voting happens on Monday and it can happen any other day and we just defined bloc voting. I don’t think bloc voting is the consideration here.

Contreras says in public comment people have it on Monday to include Greek system. People want Monday voting to include the Greek vote, what does that mean. I’m not just assuming that literally just said we want to include the Greek vote.

Garcia says it would look like they’re targeting people and kept asking us to say its because of Greeks and that’s not something we agreed on so that’s a false assumption. I want to address the concerns not starting Monday was a low turnout. There’s no documentation maybe it was because it was incredibly aggressive.

Badalich says “we should maximize voter turnouts for Greek system by proposing for Monday voting” for me are you saying that you’re block voting and that’s how I felt and we should incorporate Greek life and that’s what you can do during the meeting without voting

Rosen says it’s not just Greeks for Monday

Roth says if we could increase in other ways such as have polling stations and read fliers objectively and that would increase voter turn out.

Bach says I must respectively disagree what makes you think that you can get them to walk outside personal day to day meetings and priorities, I don’t think our money should be sent out. It’s not going to work. We can’t assume that because someone wants to maximize opportunity in this political process its not saying who to vote for. Me proposing it starts at 5pm still allows everyone instead of enforcing campaign efforts and increasing risk to be forced to go out and people will have that Friday to rest. I already proposed having online campaigning instead of campus campaigning.

Wong says why do elections have to be so aggressive that we are putting it on student body because the approach is so aggressive and students want to avoid. If you want to increase voter turn out why don’t you give them a longer opportunity to vote instead of how hard it is instead of being aggressive or inundating the student body with all the material

Kalfayan its our decision

Shagun states if you want keep those Fridays or not it reflects students fees

Baral says I’m totally fine with Monday-Friday it makes sense for a whole week from personal experience having campaigned both of those years on both Monday-Thursday and when it was changed Tuesday-Friday and from my experience Monday-Thursday was substantially better and Friday was a complete waste of a day because quite frankly there’s not many students on campus Friday. Friday afternoon was anticlimactic.

Sadeghi-Movahed said its not ideal for commuter students

Bach says being educated all day during Monday and vote on their phones they won’t forget and they’ll be thinking about it all day and that leafleting process because it doesn’t start until the end of the day

Shagun says he favors Monday 4pm and Friday 4pm

Shagun says we have the fund and we would move the funds from publicity

Geller says if you go into 4oclock on Friday, is there a time to have the results announced before sunset so you don’t infringe on the Sabbath

Calpulolo says it depends year to year.
- Moreno Haq says also it ends by 2 for Muslim religious reasons
- Geller says Sunday May 1st at 5:22
- Moreno Haq it doesn’t conflict with Sunday or religious players.
- Bach proposes 4pm Monday to 9pm Thursday.
- Shagun said it’s not worthy it and end on Friday at 10am and after the prayer because if you want to open it Friday open it up completely and instead of spending so much money. No one gets up before Friday
- Roth says if we’re going to make it a day we should make it more of a day. And don’t want to rush into a decision if it costs an extra 3,000
- Singh says there’s no correlation to Monday and voter turn out
- Bach says if we’re committing to this I don’t have any issue of being educated from Monday at 4pm to when the pole opens and them being able to
- Shagun said it’s not our responsibility for voter turn out we can do as much as we can to educate people.
- Bach moves for online voting to start Monday April 27th at 4pm of week 5 until 3pm of Friday on Week 5. Please raise your hand.

5-7-0 the motion has failed.
- Sadeghi-Movahed says for commuter students you avoid 4-7 and that disenfranchises all those students and don’t want to disenfranchise students in a timely fashion and don’t think it’s the most equitable solution
- Garcia says for student fees it’s pointless to extend Monday-Friday and if we’re only starting at 4 how many hours do you think we’ll go. The voter elections are the bane of peoples existence.
- Badalich moves to get it Tuesday 8 am April 28 to Friday 4pm.
- Baral says Monday-Friday will provide more opportunity and I still understand about commuter
- Kalfayan said it’s getting circular to Tuesday-Friday
- Badalich says I go back to the reason during special elections and from the independent party saying gthere’s block voting and extending it past to Friday and that’s why I voted against it. I’m not saying your proposals terrible I agree to disagree
- Rosen says for commuter population but it’s also an important population to take into consideration so perhaps starting voting on 2PM on Monday. I can’t speak on behalf so I don’t think anyone can
- Sadeghi-Movahed said 60% of transfer students are commuter students and if everyone can be educated on Monday and vote on Tuesday it confuses hours and fluidity of the hours and more straightforward for educational on Monday and have commuter students on Tuesday and van pool services start at 2pm and transfer student representatives it’s not a good idea.
- Baral says there’s only one objection and that’s Badalich saying bloc voting
- Sadeghi-Movahed said the fluidity of hours don’t matter but it doesn’t make sense for commuter students for voting to start Monday afternoon.
- Wong says if all the voting is online I’m not quite sure why you’re objection to make it equitable that’s correlation if all of the voting is online. We keep making the point that’s structured on Monday and why is it any different and why is that considered education in any sort.
Singh agrees with Badalich and agrees we didn’t have special election on Monday because we know bloc voting.

Badalich moves to have voting on April 28 at 9am Tuesday to May 1st Friday until 4pm. Sadeghi-Movahed seconds.

Bach objects says bloc voting by who.

Badalich says shes not going to say

Shagun says we are literally going in circles and as an independent I know what’s going on and lets be real. We feel Monday bloc voting happens and I agree with Cynthia’s and if there’s bloc voting and we’ll try to solve it ourselves but if you are giving everyone the chance and everyone gets caught its fine with me and its very clear what’s happening.

Badalich says if that’s what you’re saying then she moves to have it Monday at 4pm to Friday 4pm.

Sadeghi-Movahed said wouldn’t it be too late to go retroactive and catch it

Shagun says that’s a constructive argument instead of talking about the calendar what are we going to do bloc voting and sanctions an dealing with it and we can add that on the ecode if that’s fine and that’s more constructive.

Singh says we have to deal with bloc voting

Roth says we’ll just deal with it and when it comes and people are going to be really aggressive that its going to be a pretty intense election

Bach says this issue about not being proactive we said we constituted the legal action of bloc voting and if someone is caught doing that they shouldn’t risk being disqualified and I want to continue the proposal because this doesn’t mean eboard cant do their job if its caught in block

Contreras said I will be proactive and not have Monday voting because of bloc voting

Hourdequin calls to question. Rosen calls to question. It’s a 2/3 vote for call to question and we will go directly to a vote about Badalich’s motion 8-5-0 that is not 2/3 vote.

Kalfayan says everyone is concerned about bloc voting on Monday and tell members to do that for the other side. What’s stopping from Lets Act from whenever meetings are that week. Since this is an online election its our responsibility to give the largest block of time because more time is better than less.

Sadeghi-Movahed just wants to say that greek life supports both. What constitutes bloc voting and what’s the process of what that happens so I feel more comfortable voting en bloc.

Badalich moves 2pm Monday April 27th to 3pm Friday May 1.

8-4-1 that its been called to question. We move straight into the vote.

Badalich moves 2pm Monday April 27th to 3pm Friday May 1.

9-4-0 the voting period is established from week 5 2pm Monday to 3pm week 5 Friday.
- Contreras has a recall vote to Monday-Thursday.
- Contreras moves to amend it Monday 9am to Thursday 5pm.
- Shagun says that its ridiculous that people are Monday-Friday if student fees are a problem didn’t you decide that its okay to increase voter turn out.
- Roth says every fake argument about not having it on Monday would have been a waste and we keep it to what Badalich did and spend the $3,000 for increasing voter turn out.
- Garcia said its not fake arguments because I was thinking through it or not pushing for any community.
- Shagun states candidates and slate packets available Monday March 2 at 12pm and slate registration due March 9 at 12 pm. The candidates packet due at 12pm March 30 and candidate and slate orientation at 5pm on Thursday April 2. On April 7 it’s the last chance for ballot propositions approval at USAC meeting. On April 8th the candidate statements/final proposition language due at 5pm. The online campaigning begins at the 20th and the group endorsement orientation on April 14 at 5pm. On April 16th the email deadline for endorsement. On April 17 is the meet the candidates. Voting is April 27th at 2pm and Friday May 1 at 3pm. On May 5 it’s the installation of new council members.
- Badalich moves to approve the calendar.

You get only one expense account.

VII. Officer and Member Reports
A. President – Avinoam Baral
B. Internal President –Avinoam Baral
C. External Vice President – Conrad Contreras
D. Facilities Commissioner
E. Student Wellness Commission
F. Transfer Student Representative
G. Administrative Representatives

X. Fund Allocations
A. EVP Travel and Advocacy
$200 and $395 for Retention Committee and $198 for AiCHE and $430 for VSU Mentorship community tour.

B. ARC Fund Allocations
Committee Approved: February 13, 2015
Student Organization: Lambda Theta Nu
Event Date: February 21, 2015
Granted Allocation: $1097.65

Committee Approved: February 13, 2015
Student Organization: Chicanos/Latinos for Community Medicine
Event Date: February 28, 2015
Granted Allocation: $1075.09
Committee Approved: February 13, 2015
Student Organization: Mecha
Event Date: February 28, 2015
Granted Allocation: $1752.40

C. ASRF
1,091 for culture night. 1,500 Chinese Lunar New Year SEACLEAR community tour $1,200 and STEM $500 and FSC Loan Library $1,800.

D. SWC
$129.98 for GenRep1 for tie dye event at stressless, $500 for sister sister and $104 for AIDS Ambassador.

XI. Announcements
-Wong states CEC and Special Olympics and Best Buddies are launching a spread the word to end the word and stop using the word retarded with physical and intellectual disabilities and we’ll have pledge cards, video campaign, and photobooth. On Wednesday from 10-3 in Sunset Village in evening there is an art of dialogue that will be held by the Hill will be exploring disability by art. On Thursday in Kerckhoff in patio we are intiating a charge for change to put a power strip to charge your phone and laptop and talk about us to end the word. There will probably be free food and drinks. Thursday night in Covel from 7-9pm we will be having r-word professional panel.
-Singh says tomorrow we have defending the argument public speaking workshops and we had our westwood movie night and deals and there was an inflatable hot tub in front thanks to Hourdequin.
-Garcia states tomorrow is word on Wednesday and on Thursday we have out fashion show from 7-9pm and announced lineup for hip hop explosion. We had a great panel last week with MURS and is a dope artist, on Friday we have brunch in the kitchen SWC in LATC and chinese new year and go to both.
-Kalfayan they are screening Selma on Tuesday in Ackerman Grand Ballroom its an amazing movie its up for several Oscars. On Friday night we’re showing Coach Carter. Wednesday March 4 working with SWC with a panel of porn stars with more to come on that. We are just wrapping up tonight that Singh, Hourdequin had a sneak of hot tub time machine.
-Badalich passes out fliers for I love my body week. Theres also the breast series with a history of bras and talking about commercialization. She’ll be at UC Berkeley’s Sexual Assault conference and will be presenting twice for the TaskForce recommendations and student activist
-Roth says we have the event for diverscity tours from 11-4 and it should be really cool and outside of LA and enables people to see for chinese students or chinese American students. Thursday 26th is the first international career night and the Dashew Center for people who have had given internships to international students and theres a list of over 300 companies and location to be decided from 7-10pm and an immigration lawyer and talented group. From 3-6 theres going to be live band and free yoga and free zumba and tabling by AIDS and bruin confidential and kind of body and sex positivity and distress
day at sunset rec and there's going to be an evening section with bands and free food to come by and hang out.

-Garcia appreciates what Roth is doing.

-Bach states USIE the courses for spring are released and they're 2 unit courses and one of the classes is the history of hip hop and it's taught by Rafay Savi. This Friday Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion award for $2,000 has been committed to promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion and nominate any faculty member or student and need 5 letters of sponsorship and people need to be rewarded they value diversity since they passively support instead of actively supporting and push for academic senate. The proponents have refilled the petition and the vote will be happening February 24th until March 10.

-Singh asks when the info deadline is

-Bach says Spring but go to the info sections to strengthen applications

-Sadeghi-Movahed said February 26 from 1-3pm there's going to be a transfer center townhall and the number one thing and to talk about elements and resources to include in transfer center and location.

-Rosen says FSC is having a panel about skills that pay the bills and hosting 3 panelists from Monday at the career center from 3-4:40

-Bach says MyUCLA survey please address them and tinyurl.com/survey.myucla and you can win a $50 gift card to UCLA

-Baral says 7pm here on Thursday for the funding townhall to get a vibe

-Quintanilla asks is the goal to have a referendum

-Baral says that may be the goal but students would have an understanding on perhaps why we would need it

XII. Signing of the attendance sheet
The attendance sheet is passed around

XIII. Adjournment
-Roth moves to adjourn the meeting. Moreno-Haq seconds.
-Baral adjourns the meeting at 11:11pm.

XIV. Good and Welfare