UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION
COUNCIL

Tuesday January 14, 2003
417 Kerckhoff Hall
7:00pm

MINUTES

PRESENT: Clark, Cordero, Dahle, DerManuelian, Diaz, Grace, Harmetz, Lam, Leyco, McLaren, Neal, Nelson, Styczynski, Tuttle, Wilson, Yu

ABSENT: Eastman, LaFlamme

GUESTS: Joseph Vardner, Justin Levi, Gideon Baum, David Lavi, Matthew Kaczmarek, Josh Lawson, Menaka Fernando

I. A. Call to Order
-Dahle called the meeting to order at 7:08 pm.

B. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
-DerManuelian passed the Attendance Sheet around.

II. Approval of the Agenda
-Diaz asked that an item on the Facilities Commissioner Appointment Process be added to the New Business section of the agenda.
-DerManuelian asked that the appointment of the Finance Committee Member be tabled for now.
-Lam, Leyco, DerManuelian, Wilson and Clark asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
-Dahle asked if there were any objections to approval of the Agenda, as amended, by consent.

There being no objections the Agenda, as amended, was approved by consent.

III. Approval of the Minutes
*December 3, 2002
-Dahle asked if there were any changes or edits to the Minutes from December 3, 2002.
-Cordero moved and Styczynski seconded to approve the Minutes from December 3, 2002 as submitted. Council voted to approve the motion with 9 votes in favor, 0 against, and 0 abstentions.

IV. Special Presentations
-There were no Special Presentations this week.

V. Appointments
-Cordero said that he would start with the nominees for SPARC. He said that the first nominee for SPARC was Elizabeth Delgado. He said that she would really like to make the Committee a valuable resource for the students. He said that she has experience with other committees and would be a good member of this committee. He said that the next nominee is Melanie Pascual who also has experience on other committees and wants to make sure that facilities remain open to students. He said that the final nominee, Leilani Downing, is currently the chair of the SIOC and wants to see that the purpose of the SPARC referendum is kept in mind by the SPARC committee.
-Neal said that all of the applicants are extremely qualified.
-Cordero said that the next position up for Council’s consideration is the nominee for Election Board Chairperson, Joseph Vardner. He said that Vardner doesn’t have any concrete
plans, but that he wants to investigate and explore the different options and see what is the most efficient and effective solution. He said that Vardner is involved in TSAB and that that may be hard to balance.

-Harmetz said that he thinks Vardner would make a fine Election Board Chair but that he is very involved in other campus committees. He said that Vardner is aware of a court case against UCI that would make Student Election caps illegal. He said that Vardner may ask for an extension on his report to Council to 6th week because Mike Cohn cannot make it to the Council meeting during 5th week.

-Cordero asked if Vardner had anything to say.

-Vardner said that the time issue is no problem. He said that he has already said that he would recuse himself from the OCHC endorsement process. He said that he doesn’t see any problems with his involvement in TSAB.

-Dahle asked if there were any further questions.

-Diaz asked if Vardner planned to resign as the Vice Chair of OCHC.

-Vardner said that he would step down from the position of Vice Chair but would not from the council itself.

-Tuttle asked Vardner if any of his friends were likely to run for office.

-Vardner said that he is not sure yet.

-Tuttle asked what Vardner would do if a friend running for office asked him for a favor.

-Vardner said that he would hope that no friend of his would ask him for such a favor. He said that if a friend asked him for a favor he would tell them that the E-Code is a very strict code and that there are no exemptions for anyone. He said that he would not allow a friend to break the rules.

-Tuttle said that he could assume that everyone knows that if things get dirty it will be remembered. He said that Vardner gave a very good answer, but that he wanted to make that clear.

-Diaz asked if Vardner would still represent Rieber on the OCHC.

-Vardner said that he would.

-Diaz asked if OCHC endorsement hearings would be a conflict for him.

-Vardner said that delegates are sent to the endorsement hearings so he would be sending other delegates to the hearings. He said that he would definitely recuse himself from voting on any election related topics.

-Kaczmarek said that Vardner would be responsible for coming to the Council meetings with changes to the E-Code. He asked if Vardner was available on Tuesday nights for Council meetings.

-Vardner said that he is available from 7 to 8 pm on Tuesdays and can make adjustments to his schedule as necessary. He said that he is still looking into changes to the E-Code based on some recent court decisions. He said that he is looking into the particulars on what needs to be done.

-Clark asked how much time TSAB and BruinGo! take.

-Vardner said that he has very little meeting time on TSAB and BruinGo!. He said that he has meetings with individuals involved in TSAB every few weeks but that both TSAB and BruinGo! have very small time commitments.

-Leyco moved and Harmetz seconded to approve the appointment of Elizabeth Delgado, Melanie Pascual and Leilani Downing to SPARC and Joseph Vardner as Election Board Chairperson.

-Tuttle said that he recommended that the applicants be voted on one by one.

-Leyco withdrew her motion.

-Leyco moved and Neal seconded to approve the appointment of Elizabeth Delgado to SPARC.

-Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion, with 10 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

-Leyco moved and Neal seconded to approve the appointment of Melanie Pascual to SPARC.

-Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion, with 10 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

-Leyco moved and Neal seconded to approve the appointment of Leilani Downing to SPARC.
- Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion, with 10 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

- Leyco moved and Neal seconded to approve the appointment of Joseph Vardner as Election Board Chairperson.

- Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion, with 3 votes in favor, 2 against and 5 abstentions.

- McLaren asked why the final nominee for the Wooden Center Board of Governors was not being appointed.

- Cordero said that that nominee couldn’t meet with ARC and would be submitted at the next meeting.

- Harmetz asked if the ARC could meet and discuss a time after the meeting.

VI. Fund Allocations

- DerManuelian said that there were fourteen requests, all of which FiCom was submitting to Council for consideration. He said number one and five on the sheet had already been approved.

- Cordero asked what the Budget Review Director’s allocation was for.

- Levi said that it was for graphics for the new budget process.

- Cordero moved and Diaz seconded to approve the Fund Allocations.

- Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion with 10 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

**United Cambodian Students of UCLA**

**Recommended: $300.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Facilities for the UCS Winter Retreat from January 17th through the 20th.

---

**UCLA Helping Hands**

**Recommended: $192.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $192.00 for the cost of Supplies and Facilities for the Trip to Calexico.

---

**Asian Pacific Coalition**

**Recommended: $300.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Facilities for the APC Winter Board Retreat from January 17th through the 19th.

---

**General Representative - Jenny Lam**

**Recommended: $314.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $314.00 for the cost of Honorarium, Supplies and Other Necessities for the Hate Crime Awareness Resource Fair.

---

**Budget Review Director - Justin Levi**

**Recommended: $200.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $200.00 for the cost of Graphics.

---

**VNLC**

**Recommended: $300.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Transportation for their Winter Retreat from December 14th through the 16th.

---

**Samahang Pilipino**

**Recommended: $300.00**

FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Facilities for their Winter Retreat from December 14th through the 16th.
Armenian Students Association  
**Recommended: $300.00**  
FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Facilities for their Winter Retreat from December 14th through the 16th.

Alpha Epsilon Omega  
**Recommended: $350.00**  
FiCom recommended the allocation of $350.00 for the cost of Facilities for their Winter Retreat from December 20th through the 22nd.

Golden Key International Honour Society  
**Recommended: $350.00**  
FiCom recommended the allocation of $350.00 for the cost of Graphics for their Sophomore Recognition on January 21st.

UCLA Dance Marathon  
**Recommended: $150.00**  
FiCom recommended the allocation of $150.00 for the cost of Graphics for the UCLA Dance Marathon.

Nikkei Student Union  
**Recommended: $300.00**  
FiCom recommended the allocation of $300.00 for the cost of Facilities for their Winter Retreat from January 11th through the 12th.

VII. Officer and Member Reports

**President**
- Dahle said that the Facilities Commissioner, Annie McLain, resigned on Friday. He said that he had spoken with McLaren and that he would be putting out applications that would be due by next Tuesday. He said that he would bring his nomination to Council during 4th week.
- Neal asked what the criteria would be.
- McLaren said that the application would be much like the one from the last time there was a resignation. She said that the same basic questions would be asked with some slight modifications. She said that some questions specific to the position would be asked.
- Dahle said that in his interviews he would be looking for a knowledge of USAC and the different offices involved in USAC. He said that he would be looking for someone who understands the current issues and that understands the Facilities Commissioner’s duties.
- Tuttle asked if McElwain resigned.
- Dahle said Friday.
- Tuttle asked if that was past the halfway point of her term.
- Dahle said that the halfway point is in December some time.
- Diaz asked about the possibility of Dahle using the ARC to conduct the interviews.
- Dahle said none. He said that he would carry out the process as it was carried out last year.
- Diaz said that he thinks that that should be discussed.
- Dahle said that they could discuss it under New Business.

**Internal Vice President**
- Cordero said that the ORL is having a leadership program. He asked if any of the Council members could sit on the panel and discuss their leadership experience. He said that anyone interested should email him. He said that he would also like to see the Council meeting with the OCHC later on this quarter on the hill. He said that he is in the process
of compiling facts for the “Know Your Rights” series. He asked that anyone with facts to add send them his way.

External Vice President
- Neal said that the past weekend he had gone to a UCSA Board meeting. He said that the Regents’ budget was discussed. He said that the State is $38 billion in deficit. He said that the UC system is underfunded by $1 billion and that an increase in student fees is a very large possibility. He said that there is a projected $6.3 million cut in Student Services and that that would be the mid year cut. He said that they are expecting an additional $12 million in cuts following that. He said that there will be $3.3 million in outreach and that Student Initiated Outreach would be cut by 50%. He said that UCSA has a new campaign which is the No More Tuition Increase campaign, since tuition has already been increased. He said that the court case involving student election caps that Vardner had mentioned earlier was a UCSC and UCI case in which a group decided that student election caps are in violation of freedom of speech. He recommended that Vardner look at UC Santa Cruz for an example on how to alter USAC’s E-Code. He said that the UC-run Los Alamos weapons facilities may be taken away from the UC system due to a recent scandal. He said that that could have a large impact on the UC’s financial situation. He said that UCSA is taking a large role in the search for the new UC President. He also said that the UC Regents Committee on Investments made the decision to move from direct investments to high performance mutual funds. He said that this means that the UC system is now technically incapable of divesting from socially irresponsible companies.
- Nelson asked how it was possible for them to do this without anyone having heard about it.
- Kaczmarek said that the decision was made in a Committee on Investments meeting and they basically chose financial performance over social responsibility.
- Neal said that UCSA also met with the UPTE and UAW Unions about bad faith bargaining practices on the part of the UC system. He said that UCLA and UCI submitted comments on the UCSA budget to the UCSA Chair and that they would receive letters concerning their comments. He said that he had resigned from the UCSA Vice Chair position due to time problems. He said that he had met with CSAAC and discussed the CRENO initiative. He said that there would be a USSA meeting in San Antonio on January 17th, and said that he would answer questions if anyone had any.

Administrative Representative - Berky Nelson
- Nelson said that so far this year has been horrible for the Bruin family. He said that they’ve lost many people, including most recently Lloyd Monserrat. He said that Monserrat ran for the office of president. He said that after being elected to the position there was an uproar over his eligibility check. He said that he was bringing this up to let Council know that eligibility checks must be performed and that Council must be sure of it. He said that his second thought was that, as young men and women, the members of Council should try to anticipate the future. He said that he realized that this was a daunting task but that they should look at the decisions they make and try to anticipate the consequences. He said that he was mentioning this because Dennis Galligani had come down to visit the campus and not a single student showed up, despite the fact that several, including some members of Council, had said that they would attend. He said that if this is the type of reception that important people get at UCLA, it is not unthinkable that those people will bear ill will towards UCLA in the future, when important decisions are made. He said that he trusts that Galligani will not hold a grudge against UCLA but that no one can be sure what goes on behind closed doors. He said, that as student leaders, Council should be sure to think of these things. He said that people are only as good as their word and that he is very disappointed.

Administrative Representative - Rick Tuttle
- Tuttle said that tomorrow from 6:30 to 7:30 pm at Bradley Hall they would be having a few events before their meeting. He said that there would be a reception with refreshments
and that people would be present to speak about Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. He said that among others, Congresswoman Watson, a UCLA alum, would be speaking. He asked that Councilmembers try to RSVP but said that if that was not possible they were welcome to come anyhow. He said that it was an interesting way to celebrate an important life. He said on the subject of Dr. Galligani, that those who missed him, missed a great opportunity. He said that he is a very important person and a colleague. He said that student input on that level could affect the outcome of things. He said that that is well worth thinking about.

Alumni Representative - Bobby Grace
-Grace said that, in the future, USAC should consider, designating someone to go to meetings of importance on behalf of Council, such as the meeting with Dr. Galligani. He said that an important opportunity was missed on this occasion. He said that schedule conflicts are understandable but that having someone designated to represent Council at specific meetings, such as this, would help to ensure that at least some student opinion is heard. He said that it is very important that Councilmembers communicate with one another. He said that he would also like to mention that the Center for African American Studies has changed its name to the Ralph Bunche Center for African American Studies. He said that Bunche was the first African American to win a Nobel Prize and that he was a UCLA Graduate. He said that there would be many events and activities throughout the year at UCLA and at the Center celebrating the anniversary of Bunche’s birth. He said that Bunche is a proud part of UCLA’s legacy. He said that on a side note he could remember, when he was a student, being given the day off by a Professor for Martin Luther King Jr. Day. He said that that was before it was a National Holiday. He said that he thinks it is extremely important to remember the legacy of Dr. King. He said that his fight against war and violence has affected us all and that it is especially relevant at this time.

General Representative - Jenny Lam
-Lam said that on Wednesday January 15th in Geology 4660 her office along with APC would be screening the film *Who Killed Vincent Chin?* She also said that the Hate Crimes Awareness Resource Fair would be on Thursday January 16th in Bruin Plaza from 10 am to 2 pm. She said that there would be speakers from 12 until 1 pm. She said that in all there would be fifteen tables and that the groups present would include: Ombuds, Coalition for Human Immigration Rights, Office of Disabled Students, LGBT Resource Center, UCLA Police Department, Asian American Pacific Legal Center, Dean of Students Office, MEChA, ASU, VSU, APC, MSA, Samahang Pilipino, SWC, Anti-Defamation League, and CAPSA. She said that the special speaker would be Ismael Ileto. She said that her office would be pamphlet flyering and that the staff of the event would be wearing red shirts and blue jeans if anyone wanted to come help.

Student Welfare Commissioner
-Leyco said that her staff had had a retreat over the past weekend and that they had accomplished quite a bit. She said that she had come up with the new SWC slogan “SWC: It Does a Student Body Good”. She said that that slogan would be used for their ads. She said that they had also brainstormed several advertisement ideas. She said that there would be a recruitment meeting on Thursday from 6 to 7 pm in Ackerman 2410. She said that the same day from 5 to 6 pm they would be having a Body Image Betterment Group informational meeting. She said that she hopes to make a full report in the next few weeks.

Finance Committee Chairman
-DerManuelian said that the Surplus Capital Items request applications would be available starting Thursday. He said that he would place an advertisement about the process in the Daily Bruin the same day. He said that the deadline for the applications would be on February
17th. He said that the application would be available online. He said he would try to do more advertising and get more student groups.

**Cultural Affairs Commissioner**
- Clark said that this Thursday from 7 to 9 pm they would be holding Eclectic. She said that next Tuesday January 21st they would be screening a movie on police brutality and racial profiling. She said that next week Burning Star would be performing in Bruin Plaza.
- DerManuelian asked what time the movie would be shown.
- Clark said that it would be shown from 7 to 9 pm in Moore 100.

**Campus Events Commissioner**
- Wilson said that this week they would be showing Punch Drunk Love. He said that on the 21st at noon Wade Robson a choreographer would be speaking and providing a dance lesson. He said that that night in the Coop there would be a concert featuring several bands including Division Day. He said that 3rd week they would be showing Sweet Home Alabama and that on February 12th they would be holding the Jack Benny Awards and honoring Mike Myers. He said that he would be taking a trip to San Francisco on February 1st and 2nd to take a look at the Comic Convention that they hold there and to get ideas for their own convention.

VIII. Old Business

*UCSA Budget Proposal*
- Neal said that Matt Kaczmarek would be taking over for the discussion of the budget. He said that Kaczmarek had chaired UCSA’s Budget Review Committee.
- Kaczmarek thanked USAC for their letter enumerating their various concerns about UCSA’s budget. He said that all of the points in the letter were discussed and that they tried to resolve each of them. He said that the budget changes were approved. He said they received $4,000 in unexpected income and saved about $4,000-$5,000 because their new employee is starting later than they had originally thought. He said that they have laid out a new legal plan and cut back on conference calls. He said they reduced the allocations for staff travel and their commitments to additional programs. He said that their new budget is $600 in deficit. He said that they felt it was fair. He said that in response to UCLA’s specific concerns, they have proposed a bylaw change, to come forward at the next meeting, regarding the rubber stamp process of the budget. He said that the outgoing board would approve a budget, which would then be given to the various associations to approve before being implemented by UCSA’s incoming board. He said that the second and third concerns, that UCSA alleviate their deficit or draw up plans to alleviate them in the future, were taken into consideration and the budget was lowered to a deficit of $600. He said that the fourth concern would be addressed and that in the future UCSA will attempt to present more professional budgets. He said that in response to USAC’s fifth concern they have tried to update the figures on campus contributions.
- DerManuelian asked why there was no final figure on Berkeley’s contribution.
- Kaczmarek said that the number given there is based on Berkeley’s fall quarter contribution.
- Dahle said that he was glad that UCSA took USAC’s concerns seriously and that he thinks that he could live with this new budget.
- Harmetz moved and Diaz seconded to approve the UCSA Budget Proposal.
- Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion, with 9 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

IX. New Business

A. **Proposed Application Form for Student Fee Referendum Funds**
- DerManuelian said that Levi had asked him to pass around the updated form for the groups that received Base Budget in the original process. He said that it asks if the groups want the money and if so how much. He said that the maximum allocation will be double their original budget. He said that it asks what the groups have accomplished so far and what
they are planning for the rest of the year. He said that it is to be signed by the advisor. He
said that that is the gist of the form. He said that the form for groups that did not apply
for base budget the first time will look similar to the form used in the original budget
process. He said that postage and travel would not be funded and that the advertisement
rates have to change.

-Clark said that, on the new form, they added a page that limits some of the line items and that
facilities would not be funded.

-DerManuelian said that the applications for groups that didn’t apply the first time would be
available tomorrow and due on the 27th. He said that the hearings would be on the 30th
and the 31st. He said that 4th week the allocations would go to Council and that 7th week
the money would be available.

-Harmetz asked if the new groups and the old groups would get their allocations at the same time.
-DerManuelian said that he wasn’t sure.

-DerManuelian said that he would like to see the old groups get their allocations as soon as possible.
-DerManuelian said that the BRC would have to look into it. He said that the applications will be
available at the BRC office and online.

B. *Revised Version of Finance Committee Guidelines*

-DerManuelian said that his committee feels that there are handicaps to the financial guidelines as
they stand, especially with the influx of money from the Student Fee Referendum. He
said that FiCom would like to raise the cap on honorarium to $1,000. He said that the
cost of a half page Daily Bruin advertisement should be changed to $462. He said that
under the Travel section the portion that says “The Finance Committee shall use STA
Travel and Enterprise Rent-A-Car in determining the actual amount allocated to each
organization”, should be changed to read “The Finance Committee shall use online
resources in determining the actual amount allocated to each organization”. He said that
he would like to raise the cap on Graphics to $500 and raise the Discretionary Powers
cap to $500. He said that they wanted to raise the discretionary powers cap because some
groups wanted money but couldn’t meet the deadline.

-Wilson said that the honorarium cap seemed low.
-Clark said that $2500 might be more reasonable.
-Wilson said that he’d like to see it considered on a per program basis.
-DerManuelian asked if it would be alright to remove the honorarium cap. He asked if anyone had
any objections.
-Nelson said that he thinks that Council should be wary of spending too freely in a time of budget
problems.
-Yu asked how the caps were decided on.
-DerManuelian said that the new caps are a reflection of recent contingency requests. He said that
they are based on what they’ve seen groups requesting the most. He said that the
amended guidelines would have no cap on honorarium.

-Wilson moved and Harmetz seconded to approve the Revised Version of the Finance Committee
Guidelines, as amended.

-Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the
motion, as amended, with 9 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

C. *CSC Projects Update*

-Yu pointed out that the agenda packet included a four-page summary of the CSC projects’
accomplishments. She said that all of the CSC projects are doing well. She said that they
have had a recruitment fair and may have another. She said that they are conducting site
visits and that those are going well. She said that transportation costs are being handled
well. She said that CAC has given them money and that many of the projects are
collaborating on their field trips to cut costs. She said that they would be having a
meeting next week in the Geology Building at 6 pm.

D. *Facilities Commissioner Appointment Process*
- Diaz said that he wanted to see if ARC would have a role in the process. He said that he thought that that would be good.
- Neal asked Tuttle, Grace, Nelson and McLaren if the ARC had been involved in the process in the past.
- Nelson said that the ARC has been involved in the appointment process in general because everyone felt they had a vested interest. He said that Council can decide on their own, but that the key is to find the most efficacious way of getting this done.
- McLaren said that the Constitution speaks of the process by which a vacancy should be filled. She said that it seems that there may not have been an ARC at that time. She said that there are two factors of importance here. First that the position should be filled within 21 days of the resignation and that that would make the deadline the 31st. She said that Article III, Section F of the Constitution says that the position is appointed by the president and approved by Council. She said that it also mentions that there will be an open interview process.
- Nelson said that Council should keep in mind that the important thing is that they communicate. He said that they should sit down and discuss their different ideas. He said that all of the members of Council should think of their concerns and attempt to compromise.
- Tuttle asked McLaren if what she said came from the Constitution.
- McLaren said that it did.
- Tuttle asked if McLaren recalled when Lindsay Conner was appointed Facilities Commissioner whether the President interviewed her and made the appointment. He said that he did not remember the exact process. He said that the Constitution does call for an open interview process. He said that the President should reserve a room and notify the ARC of when and where it will be no matter what. He said that in the end it is the President’s call, but that that call could be challenged by the Judicial Board. He said that the President should have the interviews in a large room and that, after his questions, anyone else there should be allowed to ask theirs. He said that he thinks that that would work well. He said that Council of course has the final vote.
- Neal moved to have Dahle review the applications and have the appointees interviewed by the ARC, and then forwarded to Council. The motion failed for lack of a second.
- Dahle said that he was open to having the interviews on a weekend and having it taped.
- Neal said that he would prefer that more than one applicant be interviewed.
- Grace asked if Neal was suggesting that ARC forward the names to Council.
- Neal said that he was suggesting that they use the normal ARC process.
- Grace asked if he was expecting one nomination or several.
- Dahle said that there would be one nomination.
- Grace asked if Neal was saying that the names would go to ARC then back to Dahle and then to Council.
- Neal said that they would go from Dahle to the ARC and then to Council. He said that there would be several interviews.
- Grace said that Dahle would have one name and then backups.
- Neal said no that there would be three nominees or more.
- Grace said that he believes that, according to the Constitution, Dahle would forward only one name. He said that otherwise Council would be infringing on the President’s right to nominate.
- Neal said that he would change his motion then.
- Tuttle asked if the language of the Constitution specifies a single nominee.
- McLaren said that Article III, Section F.3 of the Constitution reads as follows: “In the event such a vacancy occurs after the midpoint of the term of office, said vacancy shall be filled after open interviews, by appointment of a majority of the present and voting members of the Council, upon nomination of the President of the Association, no later than 21 days after the Council has been notified that the vacancy exists”.
- Tuttle said that he felt the remedy would be to have open interviews but to allow the president to make the final nomination. He said that he thinks that ARC should be present at the interviews but that no vote should occur until the next Council meeting.
-Nelson said that he thinks that the language forces the President and Council to work together.
-Grace said that this is the perfect example of checks and balances. He said that in the end the Council does approve the nomination, so the President has to work with them.
-McLaren asked who would determine the interviewing process, the President of the Association or the ARC.
-Grace said that the president should choose the process as long as Council concurs. He said that anyone can be present but that they could not necessarily speak.
-Dahle said that he would make the applications due next Tuesday. He said that if there are more than ten applicants, he will choose ten to be interviewed. He said that if there are less than ten applicants, he would interview all of them. He said that interviews would be on Saturday the 25th and would be open. He said that he would try to get the normal meeting room to hold the interviews in.
-Grace asked Dahle if anyone would be entitled to question the applicants.
-Dahle said “yes”, that they could ask questions after he is done, as long as the questions are general in nature and all applicants are asked the same questions.
-Grace said that that sounded fine.
-Lam asked what Dahle would do if there were more than ten applicants.
-Dahle said that if there were more than ten, he would bring ten forward to be interviewed. He said that if there were less than ten, he would bring them all.
-Harmetz asked if Dahle would bring one applicant to Council.
-Dahle said that he would.
-Neal asked if Dahle would name a second choice if the first is rejected.
-Dahle said that he would have that option.
-Neal moved and Lam seconded that a maximum of ten applicants would be interviewed in an open interview process, with the proviso that if there are fewer than ten applicants then all of them will be interviewed.
-Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion with 9 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions.
-McLaren asked if Council wanted the room all day, and if they wanted this room.
-Dahle said yes.
-Neal asked if the date of the interview could be added to the application.
-McLaren said that it could be added.

-Prior to the Announcements section, Diaz raised the question of what had happened in regards to the publishing of two resolutions Council had approved several months ago.
-McLaren apologized for failing to remind Council that, immediately after a resolution is approved, Council must take separate action on whether or not to publish the resolution. She said that when she reviewed the minutes, she discovered that Council had approved a number of resolutions this year, but had made only one motion to have a resolution published.
-Diaz said that he would like to have the Minimum Progress Resolution and the Resolution in Solidarity with the People of the World in Support of True Global Peace published.
-Dahle asked if all resolutions Council had approved should be published.
-McLaren said that Council is not required to publish all resolutions they approve. She said, however, that the guidelines require them to take immediate action following approval of a resolution on whether or not they want to publish it.
-Grace said that USAC would go broke if they published every resolution.
-Harmetz said that the size of the ad should also be specified in the motion.
-Tuttle suggested that a wrap up resolution could be printed listing all of the resolutions approved by USAC.
-Diaz moved and Clark seconded to publish the Minimum Progress Resolution and the Resolution in Solidarity with the People of the World in Support of True Global Peace as two separate full page advertisements.
-Dahle asked if there was any discussion.
-Harmetz asked if the vote could be tabled until the next meeting.
- Diaz said that these are important issues.
- Harmetz said that he hadn’t had any time to think this over.
- Neal said that the resolutions had already been approved and now it was only a question of how to publish them.
- Harmetz said that he would like to look at the resolutions and see if both resolutions necessitated a full page advertisement.
- Diaz said that it couldn’t be put off.
- McLaren noted that full page ads cost $924 and half page ads cost $462.
- Diaz retracted his motion.
- Diaz moved and Clark seconded to publish the Minimum Progress Resolution as a half page advertisement and the Resolution in Solidarity with the People of the World in Support of True Global Peace as a full page advertisement in the Daily Bruin.
- Dahle asked if there was any discussion. There being none, Council voted to approve the motion with 6 votes in favor, 3 against and 0 abstentions.

X. Announcements

- Kaczmarek said that the Chancellor has asked that all areas of the school begin preparations to take cuts. He said that next year they are expecting 20% cuts. He said that they are trying to save student services.
- Diaz said that there would be a huge anti-war rally up north at the Embarcadero. He said that it would be very large and would be held this Saturday.
- Dahle said that Council has been put on notice that they should give their input to the Academic Senate regarding possible changes to the academic calendar. He said that he would like to see discussions of the quarter vs. semester question during 7th and 8th week and would like USAC to have a resolution out by 9th week. He asked that the Council members gather student input.
- Diaz said that he was hoping that USAC could include an advisory vote on the Spring Elections ballot.
- Dahle asked if that would be too late.
- Diaz said that it was not. He said that the report is due soon but that a vote could be taken in Spring as well.
- Dahle said that the advisory vote would be supplemental then.
- Diaz said yes. He said that the Administration and Academic Senate want to start talking about the issue this quarter.
- Dahle asked all of the Council members to become familiar with the issue.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

- Araiza passed around the Attendance Sheet in the absence of the Finance Committee

XII. Adjournment

- Yu moved and Styczynski seconded to adjourn the meeting. There being no objection, the motion was approved with 11 votes in favor, 0 against and 0 abstentions and the meeting was adjourned at 9:21 pm.

XIII. Good and Welfare

Respectfully Submitted,

Stephen Araiza
USAC Minutes Taker