UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION
COUNCIL

Tuesday February 7, 2006
417 Kerckhoff Hall
7:00 p.m.

PRESENT:  Biniek, Doan, Kaisey, Kaminsky, Malik, McLaren, Neesby, Nelson, Pham, Sassounian, Sargent, Smeets, Tuttle, Vardner, Villasin, Williams, Wood, Zai

ABSENT:  Hawkins

GUESTS: Constance Dillon; Shaun Doria, Julia Erlandson; Anat Herzog, Jessica Wood

I.  A.  Call to Order

    - Wood called the meeting to order at 7:08 p.m.

B.  Signing of the Attendance Sheet

    Villasin passed around the Attendance Sheet

II.  Approval of the Agenda

    - Kaminsky, Kaisey, Pham, Sassounian, and Vardner asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
    - Malik asked that the item on OSAC Guidelines be removed from the agenda.
    - Kaisey asked to remove the Bruins for an On-Campus Bar Special Presentation and its accompanying New Business Discussion Item.
    - Neesby asked to add Approval of Election Code Revisions as an Old Business Action Item, and also asked that the Election Board Appointments be added as an Action Item.
    - Biniek said that she wasn’t sure that Council was ready to approve anyone to the Election Board at this meeting because they hadn’t yet been given any information on who had applied or who was being considered. She said that, at the present moment, she would not want to vote on anything that was not actually on the agenda. She suggested that the appointments could always be tabled if other Council members weren’t ready to take action at this meeting.
    - Herzog said that she had just finished making a number of changes to the Election Code because many people come to her throughout the day with edits they wanted her to make. She said that she would like to have the current version of the E-Code approved tonight, with the understanding that further edits could be made later if necessary.
    - Herzog said, with regard to appointments, that she did not need to have everyone appointed tonight, but she did want to begin orientation ASAP. She said that, if Council was ready to vote tonight, she would like all of the appointments to be approved at this meeting except for the Vice Chair. She said that she had brought all of the applications with her if anyone wanted to see them.

- Kaminsky moved and Kaisey seconded to approve the Agenda as amended.
- Sassounian called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the Agenda was approved, as amended, by Acclamation.

III.  Approval of the Minutes

    There were no Minutes this week.
IV. Special Presentations

**Thinking Globally, Acting Locally, International Social Justice Network**
- Jessica Wood from the International Justice Action Network said that they would be holding the Thinking Globally, Acting Locally event on Saturday, February 25th. She said that she had circulated an agenda, and they hoped to have around 400 students in attendance.
- Kaminsky asked if Angelina Jolie was coming, to which Jessica Wood said that she was going to be out of the country, but would like to come next year.
- Jessica Wood said that there would be a performance by a local band and also presentations by various speakers. She said that there were also opportunities to get involved, and said that more information could be garnered from the website, and said that the website address was [www.thinkingglobally.org](http://www.thinkingglobally.org).

V. Appointments

**Spring Quarter USA/BOD Programming Committee**
- Wood said that the only person who seemed to be available for this committee’s Spring Quarter hearings was Biniek.
- Kaminsky moved and Pham seconded to approve the Appointment of Jeannie Biniek to the USA/BOD Programming Committee for Spring Quarter.
- Sassounian called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, the Appointment of Jeannie Biniek to the USA/BOD Programming Committee for Spring Quarter was approved by Acclamation.

VI. Fund Allocations

- Villasin said that $27,530.98 had been requested from Contingency, with $16,573.93 recommended for allocation. She said that, upon approval of the recommended allocation, the running total in Contingency would drop from $91,954.48 to $75,380.55.
- Malik moved and Biniek seconded to approve the Contingency Fund Allocation Recommendations.
- Council voted to approve the Contingency Fund Allocation Recommendations with a vote of 7 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention. (Vardner was out of the room when the vote was taken)

*The Contingency Fund Allocation Recommendations are attached to the minutes.*

VII. Officer and Member Reports

**Facilities Commissioner – Joseph Vardner**
- Vardner said that next week would be the first meeting of the Undie Run Task Force. He said the Task Force would address the various concerns that had been brought up. Vardner said that, this Thursday, at 11:30a.m, he would be meeting with the ORL leadership at ORL Central about how ORL can work with bringing campus life and life on the hill together. Vardner said that one idea that was being tossed around was to require Resident Advisors to take their residents to one on-campus event.
- Tuttle asked who would be representing ORL, to which Vardner replied that the ORL Leadership would do it.
- Nelson asked exactly when the Undie Run Task Force would be meeting, to which Vardner replied that he didn’t know yet.
- Vardner said that he was also working on the Westwood Outreach Project, and was now reaching out to new bodies not formerly considered. He said that the group he had spoken with was focusing only on Westwood Restaurants, FBLA, and they were only doing this for a national competition. Vardner said that he wanted to incorporate more than just restaurants into this outreach effort. On another matter, Vardner said that on Friday, he would be making a presentation about on recycling course readers so that students would have the option of recycling their course readers instead of just throwing them away. He said that FSC was also trying to collect readers. Vardner next said that he was working with Chad Brown to create a
Student Space Task Force, which would address the issue that student groups on this campus need more space. He said that they were talking about who would be good to have on that committee, and encouraged any suggestions from Council.

Academic Affairs Commissioner – Michelle Sassounian
- Sassounian said that the Academic Affairs Commission has been working on the pre-med program which is coming up. She said that they had gathered a lot of surveys from doctors, and also data to use in the game show-style program. Sassounian then said that the diversity requirement campaign was getting off the ground, and they were doing a total overhaul of the proposal because a lot of the classes had changed. She also said that they did not have a copy of the old proposal, so they were trying to reconstruct the information that has been lost. Sassounian said that she was also working on a Dance for Diversity event, and they were also going to start a massive lobbying campaign in favor of the diversity requirement. She said that the ECP subcommittee had been working to get credit for students for things that they already had done. Sassounian said that, since UCLA was the only major research institution in a metropolitan area, there were a lot of students who were involved in the community outside of UCLA, so it was not that they were taking a smaller workload than students at other schools. Sassounian said that she had also secured class space for the USIE courses. She said that they had also gotten web space for the courses so that the student instructors could post information to the internet.

Student Welfare Commissioner – Tracy Pham
- Pham said that SWC was sponsoring their Super CPR Sunday this coming weekend. She said that many people had signed up, and that they had a lot of instructors to train them. She said they would also have the instructions projected on a large screen. Pham said that the Winter Quarter Blood Drive would begin on Monday, the 13th, and run through Friday, the 17th. She said that there would be special prizes given each day, and that the prizes were really good ones. She said there would also be good food given out each day. On another subject, Pham said that one of her committees was having a recycling competition in Canyon Point, and said it would be interesting to see how that turns out. Pham then said that the Dance Marathon Committee was coming up with some great stuff to give out, and she encouraged Council to participate. Pham next said that RollAIDS, a roller-skating event to raise money for AIDS prevention, would be held on March 8th. She said that the Vagina Monologues would be presented on March 10th, 11th and 12th. She encouraged everyone to get their tickets early.

Campus Events Commissioner – Jason Kaminsky
- Kaminsky said that there were a lot of concerts and films coming up. He said that there would be four sneaks tenth week and, on Valentines Day, he had reserved Ackerman to have card-making, speed-dating, a live musical performance and a panel lead by a sexpert

General Representative #3 – Marwa Kaisey
- Kaisey said that planning for the South Campus Activities Fair was coming along nicely, and said they had gotten sponsorship from some of the test prep programs. Kaisey said that she had also met with Kenn Heller to talk about problems that new groups might have in filling out funding application forms. Kaisey said that she had also been talking with the Alumni Association about involving them in programming. She said they had discussed opportunities for Alumni to be guests at certain events, and said she would be talking more about this with Todd Sargent.

External Vice President – Jeannie Biniek
- Biniek said that she was working on a 5K AIDS Walk that would be held on April 22nd to benefit women and minorities. She said that the Honorary Chair this year would be Barack Obama. Biniek said that she was also working with the Hill on getting a community service force involved. Biniek said that she was also doing a lot of things on a national scale, but this was sort of the off-season for big national events.
Internal Vice President – Kristina Doan
- Doan reported that she had learned recently that Student Media has accumulated a large amount of debt, and that a Student Media representative would like to come to Council in a couple of weeks to make a presentation about this problem. She said that, in the last year alone, Student Media’s advertising revenue was down by $300,000. Doan gave a specific example by saying that, in one year, USAC had cut back on their ads in the Daily Bruin by about $50,000 because they were using digital methods of disseminating information instead of ads. She said that Student Media would be trying to get more advertising from the hill, but it was very likely that they would be seeking a fee referendum. Doan suggested that Council members who wanted to provide input on this issue should get in touch with Student Media. On another matter, Doan said that she had met with an appointee to the Student Health Advisory Committee who had been having trouble getting together with Student Psychological Services due to rotating meetings and unfilled positions. She said that they were trying to set up 1-unit seminars for underclassmen on time management and other stress-related issues. Doan said that they would be trying to get these seminars approved because stress is a much larger problem at UCLA than alcohol or drug use. She said that, according to a report produced by the Ashe Center covering 2002-2004, Asian-American students have the highest level of stress of any other specified group.
- Nelson asked what percentage of students was afflicted, to which Doan responded that the statistics were on the Ashe Center’s website.

President – Jenny Wood
President Jenny Wood’s Officer Report is attached to the Minutes.
Questions and Comments followed Wood’s Report.
- Kaminsky asked what constituted abuse of the copy machine privilege, to which Wood replied that she was uncertain.

VIII. Appointments (cont’d)
- Herzog said that she had advertised in the Daily Bruin and also on MyUCLA to get students to apply for positions on the Election Board. She said that, thus far, she had received applications from 10 interested students, all of whom she would like to employ.

Election Board Endorsements Chairs
- Herzog said that Kevin Potter and Farhad Mofidi were both qualified to head the Endorsements Committee. She said that, based on their applications and interviews, she found them both to be well-suited for the position of Chairperson. For that reason, combined with the fact that this is a very important committee which has a lot of responsibilities, Herzog said that she was recommending that Potter and Mofidi be appointed as Co-Chairs. Herzog noted that she had revised some of the questions on the application form so that there was a greater focus on issues of integrity.
- Sassounian asked if the applications had been emailed to Council, to which Herzog said that she had not done that, but said she had been in her office all day so that anyone who wanted to review the applications could do so.
- Vardner said that he had been unable to come to the E-Board office, and said he would appreciate tabling the vote for one week so that everyone would have time to review these applications before they vote.
- Kaminsky asked whether it was important to make these appointments tonight, to which Herzog replied that they were time-sensitive, especially because there might be a Special Election this Quarter. She said that she could actually start training the people she was recommending before they were officially appointed, assuming that they would be appointed subsequently. She concluded by saying that Council could delay the vote if they so desired.
- Biniek moved and Vardner seconded to table all Election Board Appointments to the next meeting.
- Biniek said she would like to allow Herzog time to finish her presentation about the students she was recommending with the recognition that a vote would not be taken until the next meeting.
- Council voted to table all Election Board Appointments to the next meeting with a vote of 10 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

**Election Board External Relations Chair**

- Herzog briefly spoke on why Pooja Patel was qualified to be the Election Board External Relations Chair.

**Election Board Publicity Chair**

- Herzog briefly spoke about why Lena Cai was qualified to be the Election Board Publicity Chair.

**Election Board Investigations Chair**

- Herzog said that her recommendation for Election Board Investigations Chair was Jason Mizzell. She said that Mizzell was a third-year political science major who works in Doan’s office. She said that he was very strict about deadlines. Herzog said she felt that any concerns about Mizzell’s affiliation were unfounded because he was not affiliated with either slate. Herzog closed by saying that, personally, she thought that Mizzell was “very by-the-book.”

**Election Board Vice Chair**

- Herzog said that she had wanted Steven Ly to be the Election Board’s Vice Chair because he was very experienced, and very technical. She said that, unfortunately, there were some concerns about Ly because of his affiliation with Bruins United, as well as about some extracurricular activities he might be engaged in. She said that she, however, had complete confidence in him. She acknowledged that members of the Election Board needed not only to be completely neutral, but also had to be perceived as such. Herzog said that she was still waiting to hear about Ly’s availability and, if he turns out to not be available, she would be bringing forward Alexandra Weinstein for the position of Vice Chair.

- Wood said that her concern with Ly was because of what he had been advocating on this year. She said that he had been expressing strong support for the Senate proposal and for certain individuals and, while she respected his passion, she thought it very important for Election Board members to have complete neutrality.

- Sassounian said that she understood people’s concerns, but said she’d like to speak about her views on Ly. She said that Ly had signed on as her Chief of Staff as soon as the position had opened. She said that Ly had an almost unreal passion for the elections process and had talked to her about his interest in elections ever since he joined her staff almost a year ago. Sassounian said that Ly was extremely “by-the-book,” and said she stood by him in his ability to put his political views aside and serve with neutrality on the Election Board.

- Smeets said that he had met Ly last year without knowing who Ly was, and they had an odd interaction in which Ly said that he knew who Smeets was, even though he knew Smeets didn’t know who he was. Smeets said that Ly was amazingly meticulous and very detail-oriented. He said that Ly had experience from serving on the Election Board before, and said he believed that Ly had acted righteously.

- Tuttle said that his general take on the issue was that people often had political leanings, but they had to be able to put that aside. He said that two people had already put themselves on the line for Ly, but he would still have a very heavy burden. Tuttle said that Council may not be able to find a comparable individual, but oftentimes they would be able to. He said that if the Election Board Chair was okay with Ly, then that might be enough. Tuttle said that it was Herzog’s call to determine whether or not Ly would be fit for this position, and she would need to put him through the full line of questioning.

- Biniek echoed Wood’s concerns about Ly. She asked Council to consider what it would be like for someone who did not hold the voting majority to have to approach an Election Board Vice Chair who was on the opposing side. Biniek said that she also wanted to express her opinion about the recommendation of Jason Mizzell as Investigations Chair. She said she had already expressed her concerns about Mizzell to Herzog. She said that, although Mizzell was neutral when he joined the IVP’s staff, she thought he now seemed to lean to one side over the other.

*Zai arrived at the meeting, bringing the present members to 12.*
- Doan said that Mizzell had joined her office for fun, and really had never been affiliated with one slate or another. She said that he had never attended a Bruins United meeting. She commented on the good job he has been doing in getting USAC’s Agenda distributed in keeping with the required timeline. Doan said that Mizzell was so strict about following the rules that he would not even let her add anything to the Agenda after the deadline had passed.

- Tuttle said that all of these recommended candidates needed to come to the next meeting so that he could ask each of them his signature line of questions.

- Vardner said that, for years, there had been people from Council offices who had served on the Election Board, so he thought this year should be no different from prior years with regard to disqualifying applicants because they had affiliations.

- Smeets encouraged people to talk to Herzog in the next week about any concerns they might have on this matter.

IX. Old Business

C. Approval of Election Code Revisions

- Herzog said that on page 1, she had changed “He” to “She/He”. She said that on page 3, the responsibility of the External Board External Relations Subcommittee was outlined under Article I.B.2.e. Herzog said that on page 4 under Article II.A.3, campaigning was now prevented in the presence of an associated member who is casting a vote. She said that on page 8 under Article III.D.9, candidates were now asked to register at the candidate registration meeting. Herzog said that on page 9 under Article IV.B.2.c, she had also changed the wording to make it clearer. She said that on page 10, under Article V, she had added a clause protecting the privacy of the voter. Herzog said that “student body” had also been changed to “the association”. She said that on page 12 under Article V.C.1, there had been concern about off-campus campaigning, so on-campus would now refer to buildings or spaces owned by the university or any place that a university affiliated organization met. Herzog said that there had also been a clause requiring that everything be purchased through SGA, but had now been changed to require approval by the Election Board. She said that Article V.C.6.b on page 14 had been moved since it fit better here. Herzog said that on page 18, under Article V.D.2.c, she had addressed the concern about shared cost of campaign items. She said that the best solution had seemed to be that candidates should split the cost of materials, such that the cost may be cheaper for shared advertisements, which meant that the advertisement value would also be reduced per individual. Herzog said that the maximum donation toward campaigns had also been $30, but was now 25% of the maximum spending cap. She said that this would allow some offices more donations than others.

- Biniek asked if that cap still applied if the candidate didn’t sign the voluntary spending cap, to which Herzog said that it did.

- Neesby asked for clarification, to which Herzog explained that a candidate was allowed to receive donations of 25% of the total campaign budget. He asked how much a free website would be valued at, to which Herzog said that she was unsure.

- Herzog said that on page 20, “endorsement” had been changed to “debate”, and page 20 also included the outline of the debate.

- Vardner asked if the debate information would be posted inside the Election Board Office or on the board outside the office. Herzog replied that it would be both places.

- Herzog said that on page 25 under Article X.A, she had addressed the concern about signature collection spanning multiple quarters. She said that signature sheets for Winter Quarter would look different from those during Spring Quarter, so they would have to be turned in before the no signing period. Herzog also said that she had added a section preparing for an emergency polling station in case there was a problem with MyUCLA.

- Kaisey said that on page 15 the ORL listserv was referenced, and asked what was happening with that. Zai said that Resident Advisors had circulated the halls and gotten students to either opt-in or opt-out of the listserv. Herzog said that, formerly, the housing listserv had been used.

- McLaren asked what was meant on page 26 under Article X.B.2.a. Herzog said she felt that punishment for election rigging wasn’t really up to the students, because someone would have
to hack into MyUCLA to get the necessary information. She said, therefore, that this really needed to fall under the jurisdiction of the University Administration.

- Tuttle said that it was very important that the privacy of the voter be protected. He said that his question was whether or not this was a serious problem. Herzog said that her belief was that the way in which students voted lent itself to violation of privacy. She said that there might have been a problem in years past, but now they were anticipating it, and they would be able to deal with it appropriately.

- Sassounian moved and Doan seconded to approve the Election Code as Amended.

- Neesby made a friendly amendment to Article V.A. and B. on page 10, where he changed “final” election to “runoff” election. He also said that he would insert “or any election thereafter” subsequently. Nelson said that his question was how that applied if there were no runoff elections. He added that an election could be final for given elections. Vardner added that the terminology in the rest of the Election Code was “final” election. Neesby struck the change.

- Neesby made a friendly amendment to Article V.A.5 and 6 on page 12, and said that he did not see language regulating slates. He asked that Herzog add it. Herzog said that the amendment would be to add the language from Article V.A.4.d and f on Page 11 to Article V.A.5 and 6 on page 12.

- Neesby moved and Kaisey seconded to add the language from Article V.A.4.d and f on Page 11 to Article V.A.5 and 6 on page 12.

- Sassounian called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, the language in Article V.A.4.d and f on Page 11 be added to Article V.A.5 and 6 on page 12.

- Kaminsky said that on page 3 under Article I.B.2.c., the SGA references that Herzog had unilaterally eliminated elsewhere were still present.

- Kaminsky moved and Neesby seconded to strike the bold text in Article I.B.2.c.

- Sassounian called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, the bold text in Article I.B.2.c. was removed.

- Vardner moved and Neesby seconded that the bullets from Article III.A.3. on page 4 be added to Article III.A.1 and 2.

- Sassounian called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, Council approved adding the bullets from Article III.A.3. to Article III.A.1 and 2.

- Vardner asked what “it” meant on page 12 under Article V.A.7. Herzog said that she would change it to “Student Organization Signboard.”

- Vardner moved and Neesby seconded to change “it” to “Student Organization Signboard” under Article V.A.7.

- Kaminsky called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, “it” was changed to Student Organization Signboard” under Article V.A.7.

- Vardner said that on page 3 under Article I.B.2.a., he thought that the Election Board should be defined as more than just an advisory capacity, as it was referenced as more than that on page 25 under Article IX.B.2. Wood said that she thought it could be an advisory body and one that issued penalties. Herzog said that could be discussed at the next round of amendments.

- Pham said that on page 14 the numbering was off.

- Pham moved and Neesby seconded to correct the numbering on page 14.

- Zai called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for Acclamation. There being none, the numbering on page 14 was corrected.

- Neesby said that he saw the 25% campaign funding cap as hard to quantify. He said that there were donated materials that exceeded the cap, such as a web site.

- Keesler said that, based on his experience, there are typically three costs associated with setting up a web site. He listed them as (1) getting a domain name, which usually costs as little as 10 dollars, (2) the hosting fee, which is often as low as 30 dollars, and (3) the design of the site, which can range into the thousands. He suggested that the fees fall under the cap, and that donated time to construct the site be treated in the same way that an individual’s time in helping with a campaign would be treated.
- Wood said it was her understanding that number 4 allowed for donated materials that did not need to be included in the expense report. She said that she agreed that there was no limit, but was unsure what to do.

- Neesby asked what the specific wording indicated, to which Herzog said that it required a published entry on the expense report. She said that a candidate had to itemize their donations also. Herzog said that this particular amount would not be published, as students could receive donations in excess of $150, but anything over that cap would be reported as a campaign expense. Neesby said that he was unsure why they would withhold any information about campaign spending. He asked what would happen if this section was struck. Herzog replied that she felt that this section needed further revision, and they would change it at a later date.

- Nelson said that he was still unclear about the website issue. He said that if a candidate knew someone who was an expert at website design and was willing to donate their time, but another candidate did not have access to such free expertise, this would be terribly inequitable. In commenting on Nelson’s statement, Herzog said that some people might have connections with supporters who were very good at designing fliers, while others might have supporters who were very good at designing websites. Nelson said his concern was that this could boil down to money. He said he understood that it would be hard to come up with a failsafe mechanism, but he did see this as a matter of a concern.

- Vardner said that other UC campuses had voluntary spending caps. He said that the donations cap had been set at $30 because caps on donated money really were unenforceable. Vardner said that, without reviewing bank accounts, there really was no way to track donations and spending.

- Neesby moved and Vardner seconded to strike the bold section in ArticleV.D.4. on pages 18 and 19.

- Kaminsky suggested that the section revert to the $30 text until further edited, but Neesby said that the $30 was just as useless, and would not hold up in court either.

- Tuttle said that he was unsure of the status of the court decisions regarding these issues. He said that there could be a decision made by the courts in the near future. Tuttle said that perhaps he and Dr. Nelson ought to make an inquiry as to the status of this issue. He said that if there are caps, then Council ought to look into that.

- Biniek said that her concern with the issue was that resources shouldn’t be limited, but she also felt that they should somehow ensure that things were kept on an equal or level playing ground. She said she felt that Council should be cognizant of these issues, but said she didn’t have the answer.

- Council voted to strike the bold section in ArticleV.D.4. on pages 18 and 19 with a vote of 7 in favor, 1 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

- Neesby moved and Vardner seconded to add “time and place of voting”.

- Vardner called for approval by Unanimous Consent of adding “time and place of voting.” Wood asked if there were any objections to calling for approval by Unanimous Consent. There being none, “time and place of voting” was added.

- Nelson asked, if someone did something really egregious, whether that would be referred to the Dean of Students, to which Herzog said that it would.

- Vardner said that the text had been left vague because of past procedures with the voting process.

- Council voted to approve the Election Code amendments with a vote of 11 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

- Herzog said that in the coming week, they would continue to talk about Election Code Revisions, including such topics as Single Transferable Voting, the role of the chair, the appointments, and the necessity to stamp fliers.

- Biniek asked if the Single Transferable Vote was an Election Code thing or a Bylaw thing. Neesby said that he thought it was an Election Code thing.

- Herzog said the last time to talk to her about further amendments to the Election Code would be on Friday at 6:00 p.m. because she didn’t want to be making changes again on the same day as the Council meeting.

- Tuttle said that he had looked through the Constitution and the Bylaws, and said that he had not yet found any reference to the type of voting used. He said that the Election Code was really not included in the Constitution, such that this could potentially be set by Council. Tuttle said,
however, that there could be Judicial Board precedent. He said that he would look again, and encouraged Council to also take a look.

A. Kosher/Halal Food in Residential Restaurants Update
- Kaisey said that Council had passed a resolution in support of more food options some weeks ago. She said that she had attended a meeting on the Hill, where they had discussed what the students wanted. Kaisey said that the students did not have a specific written proposal, which seemed to frustrate the administrative representatives they were meeting with. She said that the administrators were willing to consider the options, but they wanted the students to come up with a plan. Kaisey said the administrators had asked the students to determine approximately how many people would use the food options. She said that they have already collected a lot of signatures in favor of increasing food options on campus.
- Kaminsky asked if they were pursuing students who had lived on the Hill but were not living there now. Kaisey replied that they were.

X. New Business

There was no New Business this week.

X. Announcements

- McLaren said that the Executive Director’s Office had received a letter from an organization entitled The Wonder of Reading which was looking for volunteers to work on a reading project they were sponsoring. She then passed the letter around the table in case anyone was interested in working on this project.
- Kaminsky said that Hawkins had asked him to announce that he was working on the Living Legends Concert which will be held on Friday in Ackerman Grand Ballroom. He said that tickets are on sale at CTO.
- Vardner passed around a petition in support of having handicapped access to Griffith Observatory in the front of the building instead of at the rear.
- Zai said that her office was cosponsoring the “No More Campaign: Men Talking to Men About Rape.” She said that this organization went around the country to talk about sexual violence, and said that they would be holding four sessions at UCLA.
- Sassounian said that a week from Wednesday would be AAC’s pre-med event.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

Villasin passed around the attendance sheet.

XII. Adjournment

- Vardner moved and Smeets seconded to adjourn.
- Zai called for Acclamation. Wood asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 9:32 p.m. by Acclamation.

Respectfully Submitted,
Michael Keesler
USAC Minutes Taker